

Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form

Application No.: 10/565,025 First Named Applicant: Kurt SCHUNKE
 Examiner: Arun C. Williams Art Unit: 2838 Status of Application: Pending

Tentative Participants:

(1) Arun Williams (2) Richard Krinsky
 (3) Patrick Assad (4) _____

Proposed Date of Interview: July 8, 2009 Proposed Time: 9:00 am AM/PM

Type of Interview Requested:

(1) ☐ Telephonic (2) ☒ Personal (3) ☐ Video Conference

Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ YES ☒ NO

If yes, provide brief description: _____

Issues To Be Discussed

Issues (Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
(1) <u>Rejection</u>	<u>Claim 1</u>	<u>Ellinger '556</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(2) _____	_____	<u>Yuasa '991</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(3) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(4) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

☐ Continuation Sheet Attached

Brief Description of Argument to be Presented:

The combination of references applied against Claim 1.

An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on _____.

NOTE: This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01).

This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.

Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature

Richard P. Krinsky

Typed/Printed Name of Applicant or Representative

47,720

Registration Number, if applicable

Examiner/SPE Signature

Interview Summary

Application No.

11/565,025

Applicant(s)

SATO, FUMIKAZU

Examiner

ARUN WILLIAMS

Art Unit

2558

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) ARUN WILLIAMS

(3) Richard Krinsky

(2) Patrick Assuard

(4) _____

Date of interview: 08 July 2008

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative)

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 1

Identification of prior art discussed: (USNO. 2003/0089556) & (USPATNO. 6,495,991)

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached g) ☐ was not reached h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Applicant argued the combination of the prior art with the motivation to combined Ellinger and Yuasa and proposed some amendments to the claims. If the proposed amendments are submitted a new search would be required.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

[Handwritten signature]
SPE
AVZ#58